

DMP Analysis CAREGIVERSPRO-MMD

Identifier: 687412

Full title: Self-management interventions and mutual assistance community services, helping patients with dementia and caregivers connect with others for evaluation, support and inspiration to improve the care experience

ERC Domain: LS 7_8

1. Description

This is a comprehensive document. The introduction describes that the DMP will follow OpenAIRE and EC guidelines. The introduction also states that the document will be updated whenever significant changes arise. Section 2 provides a brief overview of the project and section 3 describes the data, materials, and resource collection information for each major dataset (but not according to WP). A summary table is included on page 34 ff. Chapter 3.2. describes the quality assurance process and chapter 4 deals with the privacy and security of the data, including infrastructure, overview of roles and information system architecture. Chapter 4.5. contains a privacy impact assessment, chapter 4.6. contains information on security and data breach management and chapter 4.7 anonymisation. Chapter 5 deals with ethics, IP and citation. After a short chapter 6 on access and use of information, chapter 7 deals with storage, backup and data recovery and chapter 8 with archiving and future proofing of information (including best practice for file formats). After chapter 9 on audits, chapter 10 is dedicated to resources. The document contains several annexes, e.g. on the privacy impact analysis and more detailed information on some of the chapters in the document

2. Evaluation

The evaluation of the DMP is conducted based on the evaluation rubric for DMPs, which is in turn based on the example rubric (CC0) from the DART project (<https://osf.io/26b9r/>) (presented during the IDCC 2016 DMP Workshop in Amsterdam on 25 February 2016). The rubric has been augmented by providing a score of 0 to 2 points for each of the 33 categories, resulting in a maximum of 66 points to be scored. According to this scheme the project scores **39 out of 66 points**.

Generally speaking the information provides is quite comprehensive, covering the main points and being very detailed in particular as regards to privacy and security but also as to storage and archiving. Most of the data will not be openly accessible, due to restrictions pertaining to personal data but also due to the intended launch of a commercial product.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	PERFORMANCE LEVELS		
	Complete/detailed	Addressed issue, but incomplete/too vague	Did not address issue
	2 points	1 point	0 Points
1. States the <i>purpose</i> of data collection/generation.	x		
2. Describes what <i>types of data</i> will be collected/generated in the project.	x		
3. Describes what <i>file formats</i> will be used for the data.	x		
4. Indicates whether the project will <i>reuse existing data</i> .			x
5. Indicates the <i>origin</i> of the data.	x		
6. States the <i>expected size</i> of the data.			x
7. Describes to whom the data might be <i>useful</i> .		x	

8. Indicates whether data produced or used are discoverable via metadata .	x		
9. Indicates whether data produced or used are identifiable and locatable via a standard identification mechanism .			x
10. Describes what naming conventions are followed.		x	
12. Describes what approach to versioning will be used.			x
13. Specifies what metadata will be created.	x		
14. Identifies which data will be made openly available .	x		
15. Describes how data will be made available .		x	

16. Identifies what software is needed to access the data.		X	
17. Identifies where research data and associated metadata, documentation, and code will be deposited .		X	
18. If restrictions are required, specifies how access to the data will be provided.	X		
19. Indicates whether data are interoperable through the use of standard (open) formats .	X		
20. Specifies what (meta)data vocabularies, standards or methodologies will be followed to facilitate interoperability.	X		
21. Indicates whether standard vocabularies will be used for all data types in the dataset to allow interdisciplinary interoperability .		X	
22. Specifies how data will be licensed for the widest possible reuse .			X

23. Indicates when data will be made available for reuse.			X
24. Indicates whether data produced or used in the project are usable by third parties after the end of the project.	X		
25. Indicates how long data are intended to remain reusable.			X
26. Describes the process to assure data quality .	X		
27. Specifies the costs of making project data FAIR.		X	
28. Identifies who will be responsible for data management in the project.		X	
29. Describes the costs and potential value of long-term data preservation .		X	
30. Specifies what provisions are in place for data security .	X		

31. <i>Indicates whether there are any ethical or legal issues that have an impact on data sharing.</i>	x		
32. <i>If dealing with personal data, indicates whether data sharing and long-term preservation is included in informed consent forms.</i>	x		
33. <i>Indicates whether other national/funder/sectorial/departmental procedures for data management are used.</i>			x