Identifier: 777489

Full title: Long term data for Europe

ERC Domain: PE 6\_10

## 1. Description

EURHISFIRM provides information about the project in the executive summary, as well as an update about changes to the previous version of the DMP. The DMP provides detailed information about the origin, types formats and size of the data generated as well as on data re-use. Chapter 2 focuses on FAIR data and subcomponents, including metadata. The access regime is also described. Interoperability is also addressed. Chapter 3 focuses on allocation of resources while chapter 4 and 5 deal with data security and ethical aspects respectively.

## 2. Evaluation

The evaluation of the DMP is conducted based on the evaluation rubric for DMPs, which is in turn based on the example rubric (CCO) from the DART project (<u>https://osf.io/26b9r/</u>) (presented during the IDCC 2016 DMP Workshop in Amsterdam on 25 February 2016). The rubric has been augmented by providing a score of 0 to 2 points for each of the 33 categories, resulting in a maximum of 66 points to be scored. According to this scheme the project scores **44 out of 66 points**.

The project scores well on most points, although ethics and security aspects are rather vaguely described; however, it is noted that this will be expanded on during the lifetime of the project.

The DMP is an updated version of the initial DMP but is still based on the same structure.

	PERFORMANCE LEVELS		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	Complete/detailed	Addressed issue, but incomplete/too vague 1 point	Did not address issue 0 Points
	2 points		
<b>1.</b> States the <b>purpose</b> of data collection/generation.	x		
<b>2.</b> Describes what <b>types of data</b> will be collected/generated in the project.	х		
<b>3.</b> Describes what <b>file formats</b> will be used for the data.		x	
<b>4.</b> Indicates whether the project will <b>reuse</b> <b>existing data</b> .	x		

<b>5.</b> Indicates the <b>origin</b> of the data.	x	
<b>6.</b> States the <b>expected size</b> of the data.	x	
7. Describes to whom the data might be		X
useful.		

8. Indicates whether data produced or used are discoverable via metadata.	x	
<b>9.</b> Indicates whether data produced or used are identifiable and locatable via a <b>standard identification mechanism</b> .	x	
<b>10.</b> Describes what <b>naming conventions</b> are followed.	x	

<b>11.</b> Indicates whether <b>search keywords</b> will be provided to optimize reuse possibilities.	x	
<b>12.</b> Describes what approach to <b>versioning</b> will be used.	x	
<b>13.</b> Specifies what <b>metadata</b> will be created.	x	
<b>14.</b> Identifies which data will be <b>made openly available</b> .	X	

<b>15.</b> Describes <b>how</b> data will be <b>made</b> <b>available</b> .		x
<b>16.</b> Identifies what <b>software</b> is needed to access the data.	x	

<b>17.</b> Identifies <b>where</b> research data and associated metadata, documentation, and code will be <b>deposited</b> .	x	
<b>18.</b> If <b>restrictions</b> are required, specifies <b>how access</b> to the data will be provided.	X	
<b>19.</b> Indicates whether data are interoperable through the use of <b>standard (open)</b> formats.	Х	
<b>20.</b> Specifies what (meta)data <b>vocabularies,</b> <b>standards or methodologies</b> will be followed to facilitate interoperability.	X	
<b>21.</b> Indicates whether standard vocabularies will be used for all data types in the dataset to allow <b>interdisciplinary</b> <b>interoperability</b> .	Х	

22. Specifies how data will be licensed for the widest possible reuse.	x	
23. Indicates when data will be made available for reuse.		x
<b>24.</b> Indicates whether data produced or used in the project are <b>usable by third parties</b> after the end of the project.		X
<b>25.</b> Indicates <b>how long</b> data are intended to remain reusable.		x

<b>26.</b> Describes the process to assure <b>data quality</b> .	x	
<b>27.</b> Specifies <b>the costs</b> of making project data FAIR.	x	
<b>28.</b> Identifies who will be <b>responsible</b> for data management in the project.	x	
<b>29.</b> Describes the costs and potential value of <b>long-term data preservation</b> .		X

<b>30.</b> Specifies what provisions are in place for <i>data security</i> .	x	
<b>31.</b> Indicates whether there are any <b>ethical or legal issues</b> that have an impact on data sharing.	x	
<b>32.</b> If dealing with personal data, indicates whether data sharing and long-term preservation is included in <b>informed consent</b> forms.	x	
<b>33.</b> Indicates whether other <b>national/funder/sectorial/departmental</b> <b>procedures</b> for data management are used.		x