Challenges of Digital Preservation:

Early Lessons from the Portico Archive

Eileen Fenton
Executive Director, Portico

iPRES 2007 Beijing, China 10-11 October 2007



#### **Issues and Questions**

- 1. What is digital preservation?
- 2. Case study: An overview of Portico
- 3. Early lessons from operational experience



### Digital Preservation Means ...?

- Reformatting from print to digital to create access surrogate or expand product line
- Byte storage only without regard to ongoing usability
- Assuring enduring content usability and access
  - "The managed activities necessary for ensuring both the long-term maintenance of a bit stream and continued accessibility of content" \*\*From Trusted Digital Repositories: Attributes and Responsibilities. An RLG-OCLC Report, May 2002.
  - Ensuring the "usability of a digital resource, retaining all qualities of authenticity, accuracy, and functionality deemed to be essential"
  - \* \* \* \*From The Preservation Management of Digital Material Handbook maintained by the Digital Preservation Coalition in collaboration with the National Library of Australia and PADI



### Components Necessary for Preservation

- "Urgent Action" \* statement suggests preservation is distinct from access and requires a "minimal set of well-defined services"
- Trustworthy Repositories Audit and Certification: Criteria and Checklist (TRAC) produced by the Center for Research Libraries identifies requirements
- Digital Repository Audit Method Based on Risk Assessment (DRAMBORA) toolkit released by the Digital Curation Centre and DigitalPreservationEurope provides self assessment tool
- \* "Urgent Action Required to Preserve Scholarly E-Journals"

  http://www.arl.org/bm~doc/ejournalpreservation\_final.pdf



### Components Necessary for Preservation

- An organizational mission that highlights the preservation function
- A sustainable economic model able to support preservation activities over the targeted timeframe
- A robust and regularly updated technological infrastructure able to support an identified preservation strategy and best practices
- Clear legal rights
- Relationships with content providers/creators and (eventual) users



#### Portico's Mission

To preserve scholarly literature published in electronic form and to ensure that these materials remain available to future generations of scholars, researchers, and students.



### Portico's History

- In 2002, JSTOR initiated a project known as the Electronic-Archiving Initiative, the precursor to Portico.
- The goal was to facilitate the community's transition to secure reliance upon electronic scholarly journals by developing a technological infrastructure and sustainable archive able to preserve scholarly ejournals.
- Portico was launched in 2005 by JSTOR with support from Ithaka, The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation and the Library of Congress.
- Portico is a not-for-profit organization with a mission and singular focus to provide a permanent archive of electronic scholarly resources, beginning with ejournals.



### Portico's Approach: Content Scope

#### In scope:

- Initially electronic scholarly, peer reviewed journals
   Priority is given to publishers or titles recommended by librarians
- Intellectual content of the journal, including text, tables, images, supplemental files
- Limited functionality such as internal linking

#### Out of scope:

- Full features and functionality of publisher's delivery platform
- Ephemeral look and layout of today's HTML rendition of a journal



## Portico's Approach: Migration Supplemented with Byte Preservation

- Publishers deliver "source files" of electronic journals (SGML, XML, PDF, etc.) to Portico.
- Portico converts proprietary source files from multiple publishers to an archival format suitable for long-term preservation. Portico's preservation format is based on the NLM Archiving DTD.
- Source and normalized files are deposited in the archive. Once deposited, content must remain in the archive.
- 2 million+ articles or 34 million+ files are archived to date; 1.7 million articles are available for audit/verification viewing
- Portico migrates files to new formats as technology changes.



### Portico's Approach: Access

- Portico offers access to archived content to only those libraries supporting the archive.
- Access is offered only when specific trigger event conditions prevail and when titles are no longer available from the publisher or other sources.
- Trigger events initiate campus-wide access for all libraries supporting the archive regardless of whether a library previously subscribed to the effected content.
- Libraries may rely upon the Portico archive for postcancellation access, if a publisher chooses to name Portico as one of the mechanisms designated to meet this obligation.



### Sources of Support

- Support for the archive comes from the primary beneficiaries of the archive.
- Contributing publishers supply content and make an annual financial contribution (USD \$250 to \$75,000).
- More than 6,200 journals from more than 40 publishers are committed to the archive to date.
- Libraries make an Annual Archive Support (AAS) payment based upon total library materials expenditures (USD \$1,500 to \$24,000).
- More than 375 libraries from 9 countries are "Archive Founders."



## Emerging Lessons: Publishers

- Publishers understand the library market now demands robust preservation arrangements.
- Publishers want to be a part of the archiving solutions that libraries support.
- Publishers are developing multi-layered strategies.



### Emerging Themes Lessons: Libraries

- Libraries are actively evaluating the scope of their archival responsibilities and options for meeting these.
- Multi-layered strategies responding to library needs to preserve a wide array of e-content are beginning to emerge.
- Breadth of archival strategy varies with institutional size.
- Coordinated e-preservation strategies and print collection management strategies are being developed.



- Like digital preservation, electronic publishing is still evolving. Best practices are still emerging.
  - Publishers' platforms, formats and data structures are shifting.
  - E-journal content is now frequently in 3 formats: current e-formats, early e-formats and digitized print.
  - Archives must be prepared to respond to and influence - the complexity of this still shifting landscape.



- Journal content is complex and not always tidy.
  - Online journals may be the product of multiple data streams. Complexity increases opportunities for errors in content.
  - Publisher systems are oriented toward on-time publication. Preservation issues are not the focus.
    - PDF validity may vary
    - Early e-issues reveal various production issues.
  - Publishers are open to input about how to create publications that are more easily archived.
     Archives can play a consultative role.



- Gathering and communicating holdings information is challenging.
  - Publishers do not have readily available publication histories or inventories.
  - Gathering and reporting detailed, accurate holdings data in a machine-readable way is difficult.
  - Absent solid holdings data, affirming completeness of the archive is difficult.
  - -This is a fruitful and important research area.



- File usability vs. validity creates special challenges.
  - -Files may be usable to a reader but not technically valid. Creates special format migration concerns.
  - -Files may be technically valid but not usable to readers.
  - Helpful to tackle this issue while content creator can participate in the resolution.
  - This issue impacts digital repositories of all types.
  - A good area for collaborative tool development.



### **Emerging Themes and Lessons: Implications**

- Libraries should continue to make their preservation needs and preferred strategies known to publishers.
- Publisher and library preservation strategies benefit from being informed by one another.
- Overt communication of archival strategies or intentions assists both parties.



Eileen Fenton eileen.fenton@portico.org www.portico.org

