

Trusted Digital Repositories, Certification

Reinhard Altenhöner Die Deutsche Bibliothek, Frankfurt am Main, Germany altenhoener@dbf.ddb.de

& Dr. Heike Neuroth Göttingen State and University Library, Germany neuroth@mail.sub.uni-goettingen.de



Chinese-European Workshop on Digital Preservation, Beijing July 14 – 16 2004





Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Concepts and requirements
- Certification criteria
- Summary



Definition: trusted digital repository

"A trusted repository is one whose mission is to provide reliable, long-term access to managed digital ressources to its designated community, now and in the future."

"...framework of attributes and responsibilities for trusted repositories..."

(RLG-Group, May 2002)







Trusted Digital Repositories:

Attributes and Responsibilities

An RLG-OCLC Report

RLG Mountain View, CA May 2002

http://www.rlg.org/longterm/repositories.pdf



Chinese-European Workshop on Digital Preservation, Beijing July 14 – 16 2004



Establishing trust: mayor issues

- How cultural institutions earn the trust of their designated communities?
- How cultural institutions trust third-party providers?
- How users trust the documents provided to them by a repository?







Attributes of a Trusted Digital Repository

- Compliance with the OAIS Model
- Administrative responsibility
- Organizational viability
- Financial sustainability
- Technological and procedural suitability
- System security
- Procedural accountability



Responsibilities of a Trusted Digital Repository I

- Aquisition
 - Scope of collections
 - Preservation and lifecycle management
 - Range of stakeholders
 - Ownership / legal issues
 - Cost implications





Responsibilities of a Trusted Digital Repository II

- Operational responsibilities, e.g.
 - appropriate information from content providers
 - sufficient control of the information
 - access methods
 - authentication and integrity control
 - support





Recommendations I (general)

- Creation of a network / union of trusted digital repositories
- Framework / process to support the certification of digital repositories
- Models for cooperative repository networks and services



Recommendations II (specific)

- Tools to identify the attributes of digital materials that must be preserved
- Persistent identification of digital objects (expressly long-term preservation)
- Relationship between digital preservation and intellectual property rights
- Technical strategies for continuing access to digital media
- Minimal-level metadata set to manage digital information for the long term
- Automatical generation / extraction of metadata





DINI – Certificate

As an Example for Certification of Institutional Repositories in Germany, Austria etc.

Could work as a basis for trusted digital repositories?

--- Potential criteria are marked with "**"



Die Deutsche Bibliothek



Deutsche Initiative für NetzwerkInformation

DINI – www.dini.de

(German Initiative for Networked Information)

- Coalition of German Infrastructure- or Service-Institutions:
 - Libraries
 - Computing Centres
 - Media Centres
 - Learned Societies



DINI-Certificate

- 1. Policy
- 2. Author Support
- 3. Legal Aspects
- 4. Authenticity and Integrity
- 5. Indexing
 - Subject Indexing
 - Export of Metadata
 - Interfaces
- 6. Logs and Statistics
- 7. Long-term Availability

http://www.dini.de/zertifikat/ dini_certificate.pdf









1. **Policy



- Statements to content
- Statements to functional and technical quality
- A guarantee to archive for defined time
- Definition of services that the operator of the document repository offers to authors and editors





2. Author support

Minimum standard



- Offer consultancy services and support via web pages; e-mail, telephone
- Support of the entire publication process DINI-recommendations:
- Curriculum of courses
- Specialized courses on " structured writing" for authors



3. **Legal Aspects

. . .

Die Deutsche Bibliothek

nestor

The operator of the repository must be permitted:

Network of Expertise

in Digital Preservation

• to publish the uploaded document onto the repository

ATTIZION

- to forward the document to an archiving institution
- to alter the documents technically to secure long-term availability



4. **Authenticity and Integrity



Minimum technical standards for servers

- Documentation of the technical system
- Back-up system to secure repository
- Technically controlled and verifiable acceptance of documents

DINI-recommendations

SSL certification





4. ****Authenticity and Integrity**

Minimum standards for **documents**



- Persistent Identifier
- A document with altered content must be treated as a new document
- Archiving of authors uploaded file in their original format

DINI-recommendations

Advanced digital signature





5. Indexing / Subject indexing

• Minimum standards



- Availability of a defined policy for indexing
- Verbal indexing with keywords or classificatory indexing
- DINI-OAI-recommendations
 - At least one additional standardized system
 - Keywords in English
 - Abstracts in German and English





5. **Indexing / Export of Metadata

Minimum standards

. . .

- Metadata are available for free
- Unqualified Dublin Core
- DINI-recommendations
 - Qualified Dublin Core
 - Technical and/or archival metadata











5. **Indexing / Interfaces

Minimum standards

- User interface to the web repository
- OAI PMH 2.0
- **DINI-recommendations**
 - Expansion to allow for exchange of complex metadata schemata
 - Web-service interface (e.g. SOAP)
 - Z 39.50



6. Logs and Statistics

Minimum standards

Network of Expertise

in Digital Preservation



- Every individual repository must (within legal boundaries) log statistical data on access to the repository and to individual publications
- DINI-recommendation
 - The log containing data on access to a publication should be added to the publication as dynamic metadata



nestor.



7. **Long-term Availability

Lettifizierter DINI 2004 Biller Und Publikations

- Minimum standards:
 - Persistent linking of metadata and documents, e.g.
 via a persistent identifier or storage of metadata
 and document in container(s)
 - Definition within the policy of a minimum time span of availability of a document of (no less than five years)
- DINI-recommendations:
 - Securing long-term availability where necessary through cooperation with a archiving institution



Summary

- Minimal set of defined criteria for trusted repositories?
- Via certification more acceptance (e.g. decision maker, funding agencies etc.)?
- International network of trusted repositories?
- Registry for trusted repositories?
- Exchange of content/metadata between repositories, redundancy?
- •







Thank you very much for your attention

Österreichische Nationalbibliothek





Chinese-European Workshop on Digital Preservation, Beijing July 14 – 16 2004

