

An improved local error estimator for symmetric time-stepping schemes¹

Winfried Auzinger

Technische Universität Wien, Institut für Analysis und Scientific Computing, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10/E101, A-1040 Wien, Austria

Othmar Koch

Universität Wien, Institut für Mathematik, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Wien, Austria

Abstract

We propose a symmetrized version of the defect to be used in the estimation of the local time-stepping error of symmetric one-step methods for the time propagation of linear autonomous evolution equations. Using the anti-commutator of the numerical flow and the right-hand side operator in the definition of the defect of the numerical approximation, a local error estimator is obtained which has higher accuracy asymptotically than an established version using the common defect. This theoretical result is illustrated for a splitting method applied to a linear Schrödinger equation.

Keywords: Numerical time integration, one-step methods, symmetric schemes, local error estimation

2010 MSC: 65L05, 65L50

1. Introduction

Consider the evolution equation

$$u'(t) = H u(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (1)$$

defined on a Banach space \mathcal{X} , with a generally unbounded time-independent operator $H: \mathcal{D}(H) \subset \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which generates a semigroup. We assume that the problem is well-defined with a sufficiently regular solution u , and denote the fundamental solution by $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(t) = e^{tH}$, such that

$$\mathcal{E}' = H \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} H = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E} H + \frac{1}{2} H \mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \{\mathcal{E}, H\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\{U, V\} = UV + VU$ denotes the anti-commutator of two operators. Observe that the symmetrized problem (2) is a special case of a Sylvester-type differential equation.

Let $\mathcal{S}(t)$ denote the numerical flow generated by a one-step integration scheme of order p , with $\mathcal{S} \approx \mathcal{E}$. The local error of the integrator is denoted by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{E}$.

In computational practice, the error estimator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \approx \mathcal{L}$ discussed below will be applied in a finite-dimensional setting after (spatial) discretization of the given evolution equation and can serve as a reliable basis for the adaptive choice of optimal time step-sizes for efficient large-scale computations. In our reasoning below we tacitly assume all the appearing quantities to be as smooth as required.

2. Defect-based local error estimation

2.1. Classical version

We consider (w.l.o.g.) a single step of the one-step method represented by \mathcal{S} starting from $t = 0$. An asymptotically correct computable local error estimator based on the (classical) defect \mathcal{D}_c of the numerical solution,

$$\mathcal{D}_c(t) = \mathcal{S}'(t) - H \mathcal{S}(t), \quad (3)$$

¹This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>. The original publication is available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2018.03.001>.

has been successfully employed particularly for the adaptive integration of time-dependent Schrödinger equations by splitting methods in a series of papers [1, 2, 3]. Here the local error is approximated on the basis of the relation

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)H} \mathcal{D}_c(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_c(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+2}). \quad (4)$$

This represents the approximation of the local error integral by Hermite quadrature, involving a single evaluation at $\tau = t$ and exploiting the fact that, due to convergence order p , the derivatives of the integrand vanish at $\tau = 0$ up to order $p - 1$. The resulting a posteriori error estimator is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c(t) := \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_c(t)$.

2.2. Symmetrized version

Self-adjoint one-step schemes (also called time-reversible or symmetric) are characterized by the property $\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) = \text{Id}$. We stress that self-adjoint schemes have even order p , see [4, Theorem II.3.2].

In the following we assume that \mathcal{S} is self-adjoint, and thus the parameter p , denoting the order of the scheme, is an even integer. For this case, a modified construction employing a symmetrized defect,

$$\mathcal{D}_s(t) := \mathcal{S}'(t) - \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{S}(t), H\}, \quad (5)$$

yields a local error estimator with higher asymptotical accuracy, as we reason below. We will show that, in contrast to (4), we even have

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)(\frac{1}{2}H)} \mathcal{D}_s(\tau) e^{(t-\tau)(\frac{1}{2}H)} d\tau = \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_s(t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3}). \quad (6)$$

The error estimator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_s(t) := \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_s(t)$ with symmetrized defect \mathcal{D}_s is based on Hermite quadrature formula as for the classical version, but now applied to (6).

Theorem 1. *Consider a selfadjoint one-step scheme of (even) order $p \geq 2$, represented by $\mathcal{S}(t)$, applied to a linear evolution equation (1). Let $\mathcal{E}(t) = e^{tH}$, and*

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \mathcal{S}(t) - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_s(t) = \mathcal{S}(t) - \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_s(t), \quad \mathcal{D}_s(t) \text{ from (5)}.$$

Then the local error operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{S}}(t) - \mathcal{E}(t)$ of the corrected scheme represented by $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ satisfies

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3}), \quad (7)$$

i.e., the corrected scheme has even order $p + 2$.

Proof: By assumption on \mathcal{S} we have $\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) = I$. By construction of \mathcal{D}_s we have $\mathcal{D}_s(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^p)$, and the corrected scheme is of order $p + 1$ at least (cf. e.g. [2]). It will have even order $p + 2$ if it is again selfadjoint or sufficiently close to selfadjoint. Thus, let us consider $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(-t)\hat{\mathcal{S}}(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{S}}(-t)\hat{\mathcal{S}}(t) &= (\mathcal{S}(-t) + \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_s(-t)) (\mathcal{S}(t) - \frac{1}{p+1} t \mathcal{D}_s(t)) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t)}_{=I} - \frac{1}{p+1} t (\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t) - \mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{S}(t)) - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2} t^2 \mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t) - \mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{S}(t)$ is the critical term. With

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_s(t) &= \mathcal{S}'(t) - \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{S}(t), H\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_s(-t) &= \mathcal{S}'(-t) - \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{S}(-t), H\} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t) &= \mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}'(t) - \mathcal{S}(-t)\frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{S}(t), H\} \\ &= \mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}'(t) - \frac{1}{2}H - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}(-t)H\mathcal{S}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) &= \mathcal{S}'(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{S}(-t), H\}\mathcal{S}(t) \\ &= \mathcal{S}'(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}(-t)H\mathcal{S}(t) - \frac{1}{2}H.\end{aligned}$$

Together with $\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) = I$, whence

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t)) = -\mathcal{S}'(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) + \mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}'(t),$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t) - \mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(-t)\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t) = I - \frac{1}{(p+1)^2}t^2\mathcal{D}_s(-t)\mathcal{D}_s(t) = I + \mathcal{O}(t^{2p+2}),$$

i.e., $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ is very close to selfadjoint. Now we reason in a similar way as in the proof of [4, Theorem II.3.2], proceeding from the local error structure (asymptotic expansion) for $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t)$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \mathcal{E}(t) + t^{p+2}C + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}I + \mathcal{O}(t^{2p+2}) &= \widehat{\mathcal{S}}(-t)\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t) \\ &= (\mathcal{E}(-t) + t^{p+2}C + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3}))(\mathcal{E}(t) + t^{p+2}C + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3})) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(-t)\mathcal{E}(t)}_{=I} + t^{p+2}(\mathcal{E}(-t)C + C\mathcal{E}(t)) + \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3}).\end{aligned}$$

Due to $\mathcal{E}(\pm t) = I \pm tH + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ this implies $C = 0$. □

Theorem 1 also implies that the symmetrized defect-based local error estimator is of a better asymptotic quality than the classical one, with a deviation $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(t) - \mathcal{L}(t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{p+3})$ and not only $\mathcal{O}(t^{p+2})$.

Remark 1. *An inspection of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the argument remains valid under the weaker assumption*

$$\mathcal{S}(-t)\mathcal{S}(t) = I + \mathcal{O}(t^q) \quad \text{with } q > p + 2. \quad (8)$$

This also shows that Theorem 1 can be applied to the corrected scheme $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t)$, with order $p+2 \geq 4$ instead of p , satisfying (see proof above)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(-t)\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(t) = I + \mathcal{O}(t^q) \quad \text{with } q = 2p + 2,$$

since for $p \geq 4$ we have $q = 2p + 2 > (p + 2) + 2$, and therefore (8) is satisfied (mutatis mutandis) for the scheme of order $p + 2$. This argument can be repeated inductively.

However, this method of increasing the order by defect correction will usually not be practically very relevant due to a prohibitive computational effort as compared to a straightforward higher-order method.

Remark 2. *A modification of the approach presented here also applies to nonlinear and/or nonautonomous problems. Its practical efficiency remains to be investigated, however, and corresponding results and applications will be reported elsewhere.*

3. Splitting methods

For problems (1) with a partitioned operator $H = A + B$, exponential splitting methods are commonly used because of their favorable computational properties [1, 2, 3, 4]. As an example to illustrate the general considerations above, we resort to a symmetric 3-stage splitting method

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(t) = e^{tA_1} e^{tB_1} e^{tA_2} e^{tB_1} e^{tA_1}, \quad (9)$$

where we denote $A_j = a_j A$, $B_j = b_j B$. For a consistent scheme we have $2A_1 + A_2 = A$ and $2B_1 = B$.

Practical evaluation of the defect. In this situation, the defect can be evaluated efficiently, see [2]: With

$$v_1 = e^{tB_1} e^{tA_1} u, \quad v_2 = e^{tB_1} e^{tA_2} v_1, \quad v_3 = e^{tA_1} v_2,$$

the classical defect (3) evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_c u = e^{tA_1} & \left(B_1 v_2 + e^{tB_1} e^{tA_2} \left((A_2 + B_1) v_1 + e^{tB_1} e^{tA_1} A_1 u \right) \right) \\ & - (A_1 + A_2 + B) v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the symmetrized defect (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_s u = e^{tA_1} & \left(B_1 v_2 + e^{tB_1} e^{tA_2} \left((A_2 + B_1) v_1 + e^{tB_1} e^{tA_1} \left(-\left(\frac{1}{2}A_2 + B_1\right) u \right) \right) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(A_2 + B) v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the additional effort for the evaluation of $\mathcal{D}_s u$ only amounts to one more matrix-vector product. For an arbitrary number of stages the procedure is analogous.

4. Numerical example

To give a numerical illustration of the above considerations, we solve a linear Schrödinger equation

$$i \partial_t \psi(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \psi(x, t) + V(x) \psi(x, t), \tag{10a}$$

with harmonic potential

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x^2, \tag{10b}$$

and exact solution

$$\psi(x, t) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}(it + x^2)\right). \tag{10c}$$

We choose $\omega = 1$ and impose periodic boundary conditions on the interval $x \in [-H, H]$ with $H = 10$. We use the 5-stage 4th-order splitting scheme ‘Emb 4/3 AK s’ ($p = 4$) from the collection [5], with the potential $V(x)$ playing the role of A and the kinetic term playing the role of B . The latter is discretized in space using pseudospectral Fourier-type collocation using 512 subintervals. Table 1 shows the local splitting error after a single step with stepsize t together with the deviation of the local error estimates based on the classical and symmetrized defect, respectively.

t	$\ \mathcal{L} \psi_0\ _2$	order	$\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c \psi_0 - \mathcal{L} \psi_0\ _2$	order	$\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_s \psi_0 - \mathcal{L} \psi_0\ _2$	order
0.40	1.77 e-05		1.42 e-06		6.31 e-08	
0.20	5.57 e-07	5.0	2.23 e-08	6.0	4.95 e-10	7.0
0.10	1.74 e-08	5.0	3.49 e-10	6.0	3.87 e-12	7.0
0.05	5.45 e-10	5.0	5.45 e-12	6.0	3.16 e-14	7.0

Table 1: Numerical results for example (10). The norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ is gauged in a way such that it is a discrete analog of the L_2 -norm on $[-H, H]$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) [grant number MA14-002] and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [grant number P 30819-N32].

We are grateful to Daniel Haberlik, student at TU Wien, for performing numerical experiments.

References

- [1] W. Auzinger, O. Koch, M. Thalhammer, Defect-based local error estimators for splitting methods, with application to Schrödinger equations, Part I: The linear case, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 236 (2012) 2643–2659.
- [2] W. Auzinger, O. Koch, M. Thalhammer, Defect-based local error estimators for splitting methods, with application to Schrödinger equations, Part II: Higher-order methods for linear problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 255 (2013) 384–403.
- [3] W. Auzinger, H. Hofstätter, O. Koch, M. Thalhammer, Defect-based local error estimators for splitting methods, with application to Schrödinger equations, Part III: The nonlinear case, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 273 (2014) 182–204.
- [4] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, G. Wanner, *Geometric Numerical Integration*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2002.
- [5] W. Auzinger, H. Hofstätter, O. Koch, Coefficients of various splitting methods, <http://www.asc.tuwien.ac.at/~winfried/splitting/>.